

1 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

2 DISTRICT OF NEVADA

3 GREGORY A. FRITZ,

4 Petitioner,

Case No. 3:23-cv-00241-ART-CLB

ORDER

5 v.

6 NETHANJAH BREITENBACH, *et al.*,

7 Respondents.

8

9 In this habeas corpus action, the respondents filed a motion to dismiss on
10 January 24, 2025. (ECF No. 44.) After a 60-day initial period and then a 90-day
11 extension, Petitioner Gregory A. Fritz, who is represented by appointed counsel,
12 was due on June 23, 2025, to file a response to that motion. (See ECF Nos. 12,
13 47.) On June 23, Fritz filed a motion for extension of time, requesting a further
14 15-day extension, to July 8, 2025. (ECF No. 48.) Fritz's counsel explains that the
15 extension is necessary because of obligations in other cases. Fritz's counsel
16 represents that Respondents do not oppose the motion for extension of time. The
17 Court finds that the motion for extension of time is made in good faith and not
18 solely for the purpose of delay, and that there is good cause for the requested
19 extension of time.

20 It is therefore ordered that Petitioner's Motion for Extension of Time (ECF
21 No. 48) is granted. Petitioner will have until and including July 8, 2025, to file a
22 response to the motion to dismiss. In all other respects, the schedule for further
23 proceedings set forth in the order entered August 21, 2023 (ECF No. 12) remains
24 in effect.

25 Dated this 1st day of July 2025.

26 

27
28 ANNE R. TRAUM
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE